AGENDA DOCUMENT NO. 04-11-G



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

FEEL AL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT

2204 FEB 18 A 8: 59

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

MEMORANDUM

AGENDA ITEM

SUBMETED LATE

For Meeting of: 2-18-04

To:

The Commission

Fr:

Chairman Bradley A. Smith

Date:

February 18, 2004

Subject:

Second Alternative Draft, Advisory Opinion 2003-37 (ABC)

Attached is a revised version of the Advisory Opinion, Agenda Document 04-11, incorporating the OGC's suggested amendments in 04-11A where appropriate, as well as comments and suggestions from my colleagues.

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2003-37 – ALTERNATIVE DRAFT II
3	Keith A. Davis
4	Treasurer
5	Americans for a Better Country
6 7	228 S. Washington Street Alexandria, VA 22314
8	Alexandra, VA 22317
9	Dear Mr. Davis:
10	This responds to your letter dated November 18, 2003, requesting an advisory
11	opinion on behalf of Americans for a Better Country ("ABC"), concerning the application
12	of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act" or "FECA"), and
13	Commission regulations to a variety of political activities. ABC is an unincorporated, non-
14	connected political committee organized under Section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code
15	with Federal and non-Federal accounts that registered with the Commission on September
16	4, 2003. As always, this Advisory Opinion is premised on the specific facts and
17	circumstances posited in your request. See 11 CFR part 112. The fact that ABC is a
18	political committee is particularly relevant. This opinion does not set forth general
19	standards that might be applicable to other types of tax-exempt entities.
20	Background
21	Your request concerns the raising and spending of Federal and non-Federal funds
22	for a wide range of campaign activities. Some of your proposed activities involve public
23	communications regarding specific candidates, or candidates holding positions on issues of
24	importance to ABC. Your proposed activities also include voter mobilization programs. In
25	addition, you seek guidance on coordinating these campaign activities with Federal

.

- 1 candidates and their agents, and having Federal candidates assist ABC by soliciting funds
- 2 for ABC's proposed activities.¹
- 3 Legal Analysis and Conclusions
- 4 Introduction

5 You present your request at a time when there is much debate over the extent to 6 which the Commission's previous regulations and administrative interpretations require 7 The passage of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA) and the Supreme 8 Court's decision upholding it in McConnell v. FEC, 124 S. Ct. 619 (2003) changed the 9 regulatory landscape for many political actors, in particular party committees, Federal 10 candidates and officeholders, and corporations and labor organizations. With BCRA, rather 11 than attempting to address every perceived problem in the realm of campaign finance, 12 Congress successfully adopted an incremental approach, calculated to maximize the bill's 13 chances of withstanding Constitutional scrutiny. While the law is complex, BCRA focused 14 on the raising and spending of "soft money" by officeholders and political parties (in Title 15 I) and addressed concerns about issue advertising through the electioneering 16 communications provisions (of Title II). Congress did not revise the manner in which 17 entities already registering and reporting under the Act manage their mixed Federal and 18 non-Federal activities. The Commission has in place a pre-BCRA framework to allocate 19 mixed activities of non-party federal committees with non-Federal accounts, and this 20 general framework was not changed by the passage of BCRA.

¹ This advisory opinion reorganizes and combines certain questions, and answers certain other questions as a group. Attached to this advisory opinion as Appendix A is your letter dated November 18, 2003, annotated with bracketed paragraph numbers that will be referred to throughout this opinion.

For instance, BCRA defined a new term "Federal Election Activity" (FEA) as voter registration within 120 days of a Federal election, voter identification, get-out-the-vote or generic activity in connection with an election with a Federal candidate on the ballot, public communications referring to a clearly identified candidate that promote, support, attack or oppose a candidate, and the salaries of employees of parties that spend over 25% of their time on activity in connection with a Federal election. 2 U.S.C. 431(20).

FEA is applied in two parts of the Act as amended by BCRA. It first appears in the section devoted to "state, district and local committees" of political parties, requiring that "an amount that is expended or disbursed for Federal election activity" by these groups "shall be made from funds subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of this Act." 441i(b).² FEA also appears in the act in relation to solicitation restrictions on parties and Federal candidates and officeholders. 441i(d) & 441i(e). Parties are prohibited from soliciting or directing funds to a 501(c) organization that makes expenditures or disbursements in connection with an election for Federal office (including expenditures or disbursements for FEA). 441i(d)(1). Federal candidates and officeholders are prohibited from soliciting or directing funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity, unless the funds are subject to the Act.³

On their face, the FEA provisions do not regulate political entities outside those specifically named in their provisions. Accordingly, there is no clear statutory mandate to import terms contains in 431(20) to other parts of the Act, in particular to the definition of

² This section then provides a limited exception for parties to use so-called Levin funds (up to \$10,000 raised in accord with State law) for certain FEA that are not broadcasting communications or do not reference a clearly identified Federal candidate. 2 U.S.C. 441i(b)(2)(B).

³ BCRA permits these individuals to make specific solicitations for voter registration, voter identification and get out the vote, as defined in the first two prongs of the FEA definition, if the solicitations are made only to individuals and do not exceed \$20,000 in a calendar year. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(B).

1 "expenditure" at 431(9). A plain reading of the Act suggests that FEA can be interpreted as 2 something different from "expenditure." For instance, the "specific solicitation" exception 3 in 441i(e)(4)(B) permits candidate solicitation of funds in excess of the Federal limit for 4 "contributions" for particular types of FEA, and in amounts up to \$20,000 -- well over the 5 \$1,000 trigger for political committee status or the \$5,000 contribution limit applicable to 6 political committees. If disbursements for FEA were expenditures, they would trigger 7 committee status and the committee could not receive contributions in excess of \$5,000, 8 rendering section 441i(e)(4)(B) nonsensical. Likewise, if FEA were "expenditures," it 9 would seem unnecessary to state that party committees need to use hard dollars to pay for 10 them (see 441i(b)(1)) since that is already required under the Act. 11 Similarly, there is no clear statutory command to apply restrictions for 12 "electioneering communications" more broadly. BCRA defines "electioneering 13 communications" at section 434(f)(3) as any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication 14 which refers to a clearly identified candidate, is made within 60 days of a general election 15 or 30 days before a primary election, convention, or caucus, and is targeted to the relevant 16 electorate. Section 434 sets forth reporting requirements for electioneering 17 communications, and specifically exempts from those requirements a communication that 18 constitutes an expenditure or independent expenditure. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B). Moreover, section 441b(b)(2) of BCRA added language to prohibit corporations and labor 19 20 organizations from making any "direct or indirect payment . . .to any candidate, campaign committee, or political party organization, in connection with any election to any of the 21 offices referred to in this section or for any applicable electioneering communication." 22

1 If electioneering communications were to be generally restricted as "expenditures" 2 then the construction in section 441b(b)(2) is difficult to understand, as the statute would 3 not need to specify a separate rule for electioneering communications. Also, these 4 expenditures would be reportable anyway, and the separate reporting regime at 434f would 5 be unnecessary. Any such expenditures would trigger political committee status and those 6 reporting requirements, and would be reportable as an independent expenditure if not coordinated and as an in-kind contribution if coordinated.⁴ Finally, if electioneering 7 8 communications are "expenditures" then corporations and labor organizations are already 9 barred from using general treasury funds to pay for them. As with FEA, "electioneering 10 communication" is a term applied to discrete entities – corporations and labor organizations 11 at section 441b(b)(2), or in a particular context – disclosure in section 434f. The statute 12 does not command that these terms be incorporated into the definition of "expenditure" 13 applicable to all. 14 BCRA's sponsors had reasons for selecting party committees for special treatment. As explained in the Brief of Intervenors before the District Court, "[u]nlike interest groups, 15 16 which pursue an issue-based agenda that transcends the election of candidates, parties are 17 primarily and continuously concerned with acquiring power through electoral victory. Parties never engage in public communication without regard to electoral consequences." 18 Brief of Defendant-Intervenors (Excerpts-Redacted) at I-58 (quoting Green Expert Report 19 at 17 n. 19). Accordingly, BCRA's sponsors selected party committees for special 20

⁴ Notably, BCRA also amended the definition of "independent expenditures' at 2 USC 431(17), retaining the "express advocacy" requirement but adding coordination with party committees as a factor. This section as amended is difficult to square with the argument that electioneering communications (or FEA) are expenditures, because conceivably some of those "expenditures" made independently would not qualify as "independent expenditures" due to the absence of express advocacy.

1	regulation: "BCRA's state party provisions are carefully tailored to strike a balance
2	between Congress's anti-corruption and anti-circumvention interests and the states' interest
3	in controlling their own elections. That balance is reflected in the definition of "federal
4	election activity" which confines the effect of BCRA to those state party activities that most
5	clearly affect federal elections" Id. at I-54, see also id. at I-59 (discussing application
6	of "promote, support, attack, or oppose" standard to party committees).
7	These goals were re-affirmed in the following comments submitted to the
8	Commission by eight Members of the Senate:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We are writing to say for the record that, whatever decisions the Commission chooses to make, BCRA reflects in very clear and specific terms the choices that Congress made in reforming our federal campaign finance laws. Our principal concern was the soft money solicited, received, directed and spent by parties and federal elected officials – money that presents the clearest danger of conflict of interest, in fact or appearance. With the exception of "electioneering communications," the law did not aim similar restrictions at political organizations or tax-exempt groups that are neither controlled by, nor coordinated with, parties or candidates. ⁵
19	Similar comments were received from 58 Members of the House, who added: "In fact, it
20	was our hope that BCRA would reinvigorate grassroots organizations to participate in the
21	political process."6
22	The Supreme Court in McConnell v. FEC also explicitly recognized that Congress
23	could treat some groups differently from others without running afoul of constitutional
24	equal protection guarantees. The Court stated:
25 26 27	BCRA imposes numerous restrictions on the fundraising abilities of political parties, of which the soft money ban is only the most prominent. Interest groups, however, remain free to raise money to fund voter

Letter dated Feb. 12, 2004, from Senator Daschle, et al., to Commissioners.
 Letter dated Feb. 10, 2004, from Representative Pelosi, et al., to Commissioners.

1 registration, GOTV activities, mailings, and broadcast advertising (other 2 than electioneering communications). 3 4 124 S. Ct. at 686. The Court continued: 5 Congress is fully entitled to consider the real-world differences between political 6 parties and interest groups when crafting a system of campaign finance regulation. . 7 . . Interest groups do not select slates of candidates for elections. Interest groups do 8 not determine who will serve on legislative committees, elect congressional 9 leadership, or organize legislative caucuses. Political parties have influence and 10 power in the legislature that vastly exceeds that of any interest group. 11 12 Id. (citation omitted). 13 Moreover, the evidence produced in *McConnell* shows that during that litigation it 14 was expected that BCRA imposed regulations upon political parties that would not be 15 imposed upon "interest groups." See McConnell v. FEC, 251 F. Supp.2d 176, 520-22 16 (Kollar-Kotelly) (summarizing evidence about effect of BCRA on interest group activity). In McConnell, the Supreme Court held that the express advocacy test is not a 17 18 constitutional standard that defines whether communications are "for the purpose of 19 influencing any Federal election" which is the operative term used in the definition of "expenditure" in 2 U.S.C. 431(9). 124 S.Ct. at 688-689. The decision may present an 20 opportunity for further statutory or regulatory action to refine the scope of the term 21 22 "expenditure." That action would have wide-ranging ramifications and could result in new 23 groups being required to register and report under FECA and adapt their fundraising to 24 FECA's strictures. The Commission believes that a change of such wide-ranging impact can only be considered through the regulatory process. We do not have the authority to 25. 26 adopt a change of this magnitude through an Advisory Opinion.

Neither BCRA nor McConnell mandates a change in the Commission's rules 1 governing the non-Federal accounts of Federal committees. If the Commission were to find 2 3 such a change to be warranted, that decision is properly made in the context of a 4 rulemaking, not an Advisory Opinion. Advisory Opinions are not final decisions, and apply 5 only to the parties that request them in the specific transaction the Opinion has considered. 6 or those involved in a transaction "indistinguishable in all its material aspects" from such a 7 transaction. 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1). "Any rule of law... may be initially proposed by the 8 Commission only as a rule or regulation pursuant to procedures established in section 438(d) "of the Act, i.e. through formal rulemaking. 2 U.S.C. 437f(b). Similarly, were the 9 10 Commission to decide that its longstanding treatment of non-Federal activities by Federal committees violated pre-BCRA FECA, such a dramatic reevaluation of our long-standing 11 approach must also be justified in a rulemaking. 2 U.S.C. 437f(b). 12 13 Moreover, the rulemaking process is better suited for consideration of such 14 fundamental questions. The public may submit comments on pending advisory opinions, as 15 provided in 2 U.S.C. 437f(d), yet statutory time constraints mean these comments, and the Commission's consideration of them, may be rushed. Unlike a rulemaking, the draft of an 16 17 Advisory Opinion is not published in the Federal Register so interested individuals or groups may not know to comment. In a rulemaking, the Commission may consider the 18 19 universe of available options for policy-making, unrestricted by the limited facts raised by 20 the requester of a particular advisory opinion. Rulemakings also afford the Commission the opportunity to hold hearings to take oral testimony and probe the views of witnesses. A 21

⁷ In the context of whether another Commission rule insulated *McConnell* plaintiffs from liability so as to deny them standing, Intervenors observed that the Commission "could not change its mind without notice and comment rulemaking." Intervenor-Appellees' Response to Jurisdictional Statements at 4.

- rulemaking will be forthcoming in a matter of weeks, and that process will permit the 1 2 Commission to consider the ramifications of a change in its approach, and review and 3 revise thoroughly our other regulations to conform to that reevaluation. 4 Until we do so, we will continue to apply our existing regulations. 5 **Application to Request** 6 ABC proposes to fund certain activity that may be paid for with a mix of Federal 7 and non-Federal funds. As noted above, the Commission has in place a pre-BCRA 8 framework to govern the mixed activities of Federal committees with non-Federal accounts. 9 Commission regulations provide that, with respect to a political committee with separate 10 Federal and non-Federal accounts (such as ABC), "[all] disbursements, contributions. expenditures, and transfers . . . in connection with any Federal election shall be made from 11 12 its Federal account" (except as otherwise permitted with respect to State, district, and local party committees under 11 CFR Part 300). 11 CFR 102.5(a)(1)(i). 13 The proper allocation of such activity by a non-connected political committee such 14 15
- as ABC is set by 11 CFR Part 106. Where a communication (including a voter registration or get-out-the-vote communication) constitutes an expenditure on behalf of a clearly identified Federal candidate, the provisions at 11 CFR 106.1 providing for allocation to a candidate or candidates will apply. Where candidates are not identified and the communication is part of a generic voter drive, the provisions of 11 CFR 106.6 will apply as to the allocation of a political committee's disbursements between its Federal and non-Federal accounts.

 Under 11 CFR 106.1, expenditures, including in-kind contributions, independent
 - Under 11 CFR 106.1, expenditures, including in-kind contributions, independent expenditures, and coordinated expenditures made on behalf of more than one Federal

1	candidate, are	allocated to	each such	candidate	according	to the	benefit	reasonably	у ехр	ected
---	----------------	--------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--------	---------	------------	-------	-------

- 2 to be derived; e.g. by the space and time devoted to each candidate in a printed or broadcast
- 3 message, or statements in a phone bank message, as compared to the total space or time
- 4 devoted to all the candidates. This also applies to allocating payments involving both
- 5 expenditures on behalf of one or more clearly identified Federal candidates and
- 6 disbursements on behalf of one or more clearly identified non-Federal candidates. 11 CFR
- 7 106.1(a).

8 For communications by a non-connected political committee for voter identification,

- 9 voter registration, or get-out-the-vote that are not coordinated with a candidate and that do
- 10 not refer to any clearly identified Federal candidate, Commission regulations at 11 CFR
- 11 106.6 require the use of at least some Federal funds because they are in part for the purpose
- of influencing a Federal election. Commission regulations provide that such committees
- shall allocate expenses for:

Generic voter drives including voter identification, voter registration, and get-out-the-vote drives, or any other activities that urge the general public to register, vote or support candidates of a particular party or associated with a

particular issue, without mentioning a specific candidate.

18

19 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). The expenses for such purposes shall be allocated between the

- 20 Federal and non-Federal accounts of the committee based on the ratio of Federal
- 21 expenditures to total Federal and non-Federal disbursements made by the committee during
- 22 the two-year Federal election cycle.8 Other disbursements that are not coordinated with a

The ratio shall be estimated and reported at the beginning of each cycle, and subsequent adjustments, accompanied by transfers, are made with respect to each reporting period. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1) and (2). For the purposes of the ratio, the Federal expenditures shall include only amounts contributed to or otherwise spent on behalf of specific Federal candidates, including independent expenditures. The calculation of

1	candidate, refer to a clearly identified candidate, but do not contain express advocacy, are
2	allocable under the ratio for administrative expenditures at 11 CFR 106.6(c). See, e.g.
3	Advisory Opinion 1995-25.
4	Nevertheless, "allocating a portion of certain costs to a committee's non-Federal
5	account is a permissive, rather than mandated procedure." Advisory Opinion 2000-24
6	(quoting Methods of Allocation Between Federal and Non-Federal Accounts: Payments;
7	Reporting, 55 Fed. Reg. 26,058, 26,063 (June 26, 1990)). Allocation is a "floor" for the use
8	of Federal funds and a "ceiling" for the use of non-Federal funds, and a political committee
9	may pay for allocable expenses with a higher percentage of Federal funds, or with only
10	Federal funds. Advisory Opinion 2000-24, see also Statement for the Record in Advisory
11	Opinion 2000-24 (Vice-Chairman McDonald and Commissioner Thomas ("[T]he
12	Commission's allocation regulations were clearly designed to allow affected committees
13	the flexibility to pay for more than the minimum Federal share of allocable expenses with
14	funds raised under the Federal restrictions"). The Commission's advice regarding
15	allocation should be read with the understanding that ABC may allocate a higher
16	percentage of Federal funds than permitted by the allocation formula.
17 18 19	1. Given that ABC's "express purpose" [2] [20] and "message" [26] is "the election and defeat" [26] [20] of particular Federal candidates, (a) May ABC, or its agents, solicit or direct non-Federal funds [47]?

disbursements for the total Federal and non-Federal disbursements shall also be limited to disbursements for specific candidates, and shall not include overhead or other generic costs. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1).

The questions in paragraph [27] pertaining to the activities of donors of non-Federal funds ask about

(b) May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for any of its activities [26] [27]9?

activities of third parties and not that of the requestor. See 11 CFR 112.1(b) (which states that requests regarding the activities of third parties do not qualify as advisory opinion requests). However, to the extent your question inquires about ABC's liability for activities performed by third party donors who are not agents of ABC, their acts as you describe them, without more, would not affect ABC's ability to engage in the voter registration and get-out-the-vote activities referenced in the question.

1	The paragraphs of your request addressed in this question largely present general
2	questions of interpretation, and thus do not qualify as an advisory opinion request. 11 CFR
3	112.1(b). As explained above, political committees may maintain Federal and non-Federal
4	accounts, 11 CFR 102.5, and may allocate certain payments between Federal funds and
5	non-Federal funds, see, e.g., 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) (allocation of expenses for generic
6	voter drives by non-connected political committees). Thus, ABC may raise non-Federal
7	funds, and spend such funds as permitted by the Act. 10 More specific guidance is provided
8	below in the context of more specific questions.
9	
10 11 12	(c) Coordination with Federal Candidates and Political Party Committees [9],[11] to [17], [18], [28] to [36], and [62]
13	Several paragraphs of your advisory opinion request directly raise issues as to
14	"coordination" between ABC and candidates for Federal office and/or political party
15	committees. See, e.g., paragraphs [11]-[17], [18] (second question), [28]-[36], and [62] of
16	your request. Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7) and 11 CFR 109.20(a), "coordinated" means,
17	"made in cooperation, consultation, or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a
18	candidate, a candidate's authorized committee, or their agents"
19	The regulations in 11 CFR 109.21 set forth a three-pronged test for the purpose of
20	determining whether a communication is coordinated with one or more candidates for
21	Federal election, an authorized committee, a political party committee, or an agent of any of

An expenditure is considered to be a contribution to a candidate when it is "made by any person in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," that candidate, the authorized committee of that candidate, or their agents. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). Also, an expenditure is not "independent" if it is "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," a candidate, authorized committee, or a political party committee. See 11 CFR 100.16.

•	the fologonig. It the three-profiged test is satisfied, then the payments for the
2	communication are made for the purpose of influencing a Federal election, and therefore
3	constitute in-kind contributions. First, the communication must be paid for by someone
4	other than that candidate, authorized committee, political party committee, or an agent of
5	any of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(1); see also 11 CFR 109.37. The second prong is
6	a "content standard" regarding the subject matter of the communication. 11 CFR
7	109.21(a)(2). Four types of communications satisfy the content standard: (1) a public
8	communication that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified
9	Federal candidate (no matter when made); (2) a public communication that disseminates,
10	distributes or republishes campaign materials (no matter when made); (3) electioneering
11	communications; and (4) a public communication directed to voters in a particular area that
12	refers to a political party or a clearly identified Federal candidate and that is disseminated
13	120 days or fewer before a primary, general, special or runoff election. See 11 CFR
14	109.21(c). The third prong is a "conduct standard" regarding the interactions between the
15	person paying for the communication and the candidate, an authorized committee, a
16	political party committee, or agents of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(3). These conduct
17	standards include: (1) "requests or suggestions" for communications by candidates or
18	political party committees; (2) substantial discussions regarding candidate or political party
19	campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs; (3) "material involvement" in the making and
20	airing of communications; (4) the involvement of "common vendors;" and (5) the
21	involvement of individuals who were formerly employees or independent contractors of a

- 1 candidate, an authorized committee, or a political party committee. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) -
- 2 (5).11
- The Commission cannot resolve, without more specific information, whether the
- 4 communications you generally describe are coordinated communications. As such, your
- 5 questions about coordination are hypothetical, presenting general questions of interpretation
- 6 of the Act, rather than specific transactions or activities, and are thus not proper for an
- 7 advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b). 12
- 8 In addition to the paragraphs of your request that directly raise issues about
- 9 coordination, some of your other paragraphs raise the possibility that a number of ABC's
- 10 planned activities described below might be coordinated with one or more candidates for
- 11 Federal office, authorized committees of Federal candidates, political party committees, or
- the agents of any of the foregoing. See, e.g., paragraphs [6]-[8], [24]-[27], 13 [38]-[39],
- 13 [48]-[49], [52]-[54], [57]-[60], [64], and [67]-[70] of your request. To the extent that the
- 14 activities you describe in those questions would result in a coordinated communication
- within the meaning the meaning of 11 CFR 109.21, the payment for such communications

In order to meet this fifth conduct standard, the former employee or independent contractor must use or convey information. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(5). The Commission considered and rejected a standard in which previous employment would, by itself, be sufficient to satisfy this conduct standard. See 68 Fed. Reg. at 438 ("The Commission notes that the final rule focuses only on the use or conveyance of information that is material to a subsequent communication and does not in any way prohibit or discourage the subsequent employment of those who have previously worked for a candidate's campaign or a political party committee.") Moreover, merely conveying publicly available information would not meet the standard for the rule.

¹² In paragraph [9] you ask whether an individual contributing non-Federal funds to ABC "for the express purposes of 'reelecting the President' or 'defeating' his Democratic opponent" may also hold a fundraiser for the President. This question relates to the activities of a third-party contributor. Under the Act and Commission regulations, a request on behalf of a requesting person must be made by an "authorized agent of such person." 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1) and 11 CFR 112.1(a). Such activity on the part of a contributor would not, without more, implicate ABC or result in an excessive contribution to ABC.

¹³ While you state in paragraphs 24 and 25 that the contemplated activities will not be coordinated with a Federal candidate, you do not address whether the activities will be coordinated with a political party committee or its agents.

- 1 would constitute an in-kind contribution to a candidate for Federal office or to a political
- 2 party committee. Such contributions must be paid for entirely with Federal funds and are
- 3 subject to ABC's contribution limits under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1) or (2). Thus, the
- 4 Commission conditions its responses to the questions discussed below on the assumption
- 5 that these activities are not coordinated with a Federal candidate, authorized committee.
- 6 political party committee, or agents of any of the foregoing. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a); 11 CFR
- 7 100.52(d)(1), 11 CFR 109.20 and 109.21.14

Coordination with non-profit organizations

9 10 11

12

2. You ask whether ABC may coordinate its activities with entities that are constituted as either IRC §527 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations, and that are not Federal political committees. [35] and [36]

13 14

- The Act and Commission regulations at 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B) and 11 CFR Part
- 16 109 set forth consequences from coordination with a candidate for Federal office, a
- 17 candidate's authorized committee, or a political party committee. Neither the Act nor
- 18 Commission regulations address coordination with other political committees and 527
- 19 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations. Thus, ABC is not prohibited
- 20 from consulting with, or acting in concert with these other organizations.¹⁵

¹⁴ The Act, as amended by BCRA, and Commission regulations prohibit national committees of political parties, their officers and agents, and any entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by such committees from soliciting, receiving, spending, or directing to another person, non-Federal funds (i.e., funds that that are not subject to the limitations, prohibitions, or reporting requirements of the Act). 2 U.S.C. 441i(a); 11 CFR 300.10. For the purposes of this opinion, the Commission accepts your representation that ABC is an independent political committee that is not affiliated with any Federal candidate, Federal officeholder or political party.

Depending on the particular circumstances, such cooperation could be a factor leading to a conclusion that ABC controls or is otherwise affiliated with such a group, that the group is acting as ABC's agent, or that the group has made an in-kind contribution to ABC.

1 The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment

- 2 under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal
- 3 Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.

5 Agency

Your advisory opinion request raises issues as to whether an individual is an agent of one or more organizations, including ABC. See, e.g., paragraphs [12], [15], and [29]. The Commission cannot determine whether particular individuals are agents of ABC or other persons without more specific information. As the Commission previously noted in the Explanation and Justification for 11 CFR Part 109, it is difficult to determine whether an individual is acting as an "agent" in the abstract because "[t]he grant and scope of the actual authority, whether the person is acting within the scope of his or her actual authority, and whether he or she is acting on behalf of the principal or a different person, are factual determinations that are necessarily evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with traditional agency principles." 68 Fed. Reg. 421, 425. Therefore, to the extent your questions require a determination of whether a particular person is an agent of ABC or another organization, the Commission has insufficient information to answer those

¹⁶ Although Congress did not define the term "agent" in BCRA, the Commission has promulgated regulations at 11 CFR 300.2(b) that define an "agent" of a Federal candidate or officeholder for purposes of 11 CFR Part 300 (i.e., the "soft-money" rules enacted to implement BCRA) as "any person who has actual authority, either express or implied," "to solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend funds in connection with any election." The Commission also promulgated a similar definition of "agent" solely for the purposes of 11 CFR Part 109, which primarily addresses coordination between a person paying for a communication and a Federal candidate, authorized committee, or political party committee. 11 CFR 109.3. The Commission explained that this definition of "agent" is "based on the same concept that the Commission used in framing the definition of 'agent" in part 300, described above. 68 Fed. Reg. 421, 423 (Jan. 3, 2003).

1	questions. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b). In addressing the questions you present
2	in this request, the Commission assumes that ABC directors and staff are not agents of a
3	candidate for Federal office, an authorized committee of such candidate, a political party
4	committee, or any other individual or entity.
5	
6	Communications
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	3. You indicate that ABC may fund a communication that states: "President George W. Bush, Senator X and Representative Y have led the fight in Congress for a stronger defense and stronger economy. Call them and tell them to keep fighting for you." May ABC pay for this communication containing no express advocacy solely with donations from individuals that exceed the Act's limitations? [6], [7]
14	No. As explained above, although this is not an "express advocacy"
15	communication, ABC must either use Federal funds or allocate its payment for these
16	communications under the allocation rules for administrative expenses at 11 CFR 106.6(c).
17	To the extent the communication is also an "electioneering communication" as defined at
18	11 CFR 100.29(a), please see the answer to 4 below.
19	
20 21 22 23 24 25	4. May ABC pay for communications within 60 days of a general election with funds from corporations, labor organizations, and trade associations, if the message is "President Bush is a strong leader. The War on Terror, cutting taxes, putting families and working people first. He has provided strong, common sense leadership for this nation. Call President Bush and tell him to keep fighting for a strong America."? [64A]
26	No, to the extent this communication is an electioneering communication. See 11
27	CFR 100.29(a). Under section 114.14(b), ABC may not use funds from a corporation,
28	labor organization, or trade association to pay for any electioneering communication or

1	provide those funds to any person for the purpose of defraying any of the costs of an						
2	electioneering communication. Moreover, ABC must be able to demonstrate through a						
3	reasonable accounting method that no such funds were used for any portion of an						
4	electioneering communication. 11 CFR 114.14(d). ABC may wish to establish a						
5	segregated bank account into which it deposits funds donated by individuals, and fund						
6	electioneering communications from that account. See 11 CFR 114.14(d)(2).						
7	If the communication does not meet the definition of an electioneering						
8	communication at 11 CFR 100.29(a), please see the answer to question 3 above.						
9							
10 11 12	5. May ABC pay for "issue ads" within 60 days of a general election with corporate, labor organization, or trade association funds? [8]						
13	In paragraph [8], you ask generally "[s]ince ABC is an unincorporated entity, may it						
14	broadcast issue ads within 60 days of a general election paid for with funds that include						
15	contributions from corporations, unions and trade associations?" Because you do not						
16	provide a script for the "issue ads" referred to in paragraph [8], this is a hypothetical						
17	question calling for general interpretation of the Act. Thus, it is not a proper advisory						
18	opinion request. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b). To the extent you plan to						
19	broadcast advertisements that meet the definition of electioneering communications, please						
20	see the answer to question 4.						
21 22 23 24 25 26	6. ABC wishes to run television and radio communications, such as that attached to your request at Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B]						

funds meet the requirements for the exception at 11 CFR 114.14(c) for salary, royalties, other bona fide income, interest earnings, or receipts for goods provided or services rendered. Again, ABC must demonstrate that no impermissible corporate or labor fund were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose 11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. These questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spec	1	Yes, ABC may fund these electioneering communications from funds donated by
funds meet the requirements for the exception at 11 CFR 114.14(c) for salary, royalties, other bona fide income, interest earnings, or receipts for goods provided or services rendered. Again, ABC must demonstrate that no impermissible corporate or labor fund were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose 11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	2	individuals, provided those individuals did not receive the funds from impermissible
other bona fide income, interest earnings, or receipts for goods provided or services rendered. Again, ABC must demonstrate that no impermissible corporate or labor fund were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose 11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non- Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spec	3	sources for use in funding electioneering communications, see 11 CFR 114.14(b), or the
rendered. Again, ABC must demonstrate that no impermissible corporate or labor fund were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose 11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non- Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spec	4	funds meet the requirements for the exception at 11 CFR 114.14(c) for salary, royalties, and
were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose 11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	5	other bona fide income, interest earnings, or receipts for goods provided or services
11 CFR 114.14(d). 7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. These questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including direse mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	6	rendered. Again, ABC must demonstrate that no impermissible corporate or labor funds
7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	7	were used for the communication, and may establish a separate account for that purpose.
7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to you request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65] Please see the answer to Question 3. Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	8	11 CFR 114.14(d).
Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	10 11 12 13 14	leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-
You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	16	Please see the answer to Question 3.
You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	17	
what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. Thes questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	18	Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities
questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	19	You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied to
[69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including dire mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	20	what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. These
mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a spector identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask	21	questions are found at paragraphs [5], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [61]
voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also as	22	[69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including direct
	23	mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a specific
about the implications of BCRA on specific types of FEA, as defined at 2 U.S.C.	24	voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask
	25	about the implications of BCRA on specific types of FEA, as defined at 2 U.S.C.

1	431(20)(A)(i) and (ii) and 1	I CFR	100.24(b)(1)	and (2). ¹⁷	However,	as noted above,	ABC
---	------------------------------	-------	--------------	------------------------	----------	-----------------	-----

- 2 is not established, financed, maintained or controlled by a national, State, district or local
- 3 party committee. Therefore, the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441i that turn on those types of
- 4 FEA do not apply to ABC.
- 5 Commission regulations address: (1) communications by political committees that
- 6 involve expenditures on behalf of clearly identified Federal candidates and/or
- 7 disbursements on behalf of clearly identified non-Federal candidates, at 11 CFR 106.1; and
- 8 (2) communications by political committees for voter identification, voter registration or
- 9 get-out-the-vote that are not coordinated with a candidate and that do not mention a clearly
- 10 identified candidate, at 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Funding for such communications will
- 11 depend in large measure on the application of 11 CFR Part 106.

8. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and get-out-the-vote 14 public communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate and that expressly advocate his election or defeat or promote, support, attack, or oppose the candidate? This question refers to communications in paragraphs [5], [26], [52], [57], and [69]. This question also pertains to questions [54] and [60].

17 18

12 13

15 16

19 No. The communications in paragraphs [26] (first message), [52], [54] (first

message), [57], [60] (first message), and [69] present messages that clearly identify a 20

Federal candidate and expressly advocate his election. 11 CFR 100.22(a). Payment for 21

¹⁷ These include your references in paragraph [51] to voter registration activity that occurs more than 120 days before a Federal election and within the 120-day period and the reference in paragraph [58] to GOTV activity that occurs within 72 hours of a Federal election and before that time period.

¹⁸ Specifically, 11 CFR 100.22(a) provides that "expressly advocating" means any communication that "[u]ses phrases such as 'vote for the President,' 're-elect your Congressman,' 'support the Democratic nominee,' 'cast your ballot for the Republican challenger for U.S. Senate in Georgia, 'Smith for Congress,' 'Bill McKay in '94,' 'vote Pro-Life' or 'vote pro-Choice' accompanied by a listing of clearly identified candidates described as Pro-Life or Pro-Choice, 'vote against Old Hickory,' 'defeat' accompanied by a picture of one or more candidate(s), 'reject the incumbent,' or communications of campaign slogan(s) or individual word(s), which in

1	such a message would be an expenditure that must be paid for entirely with Federal funds.
2	Communications that refer to more than one Federal candidate may be allocated among
3	those candidates. 11 CFR 106.1.
4	The communication in paragraph [5] expressly advocates the election of three
5	clearly identified candidates, two Federal and one non-Federal. Based on the content of the
6	message, 11 CFR 106.1(a) would require allocation among the three candidates, and a
7	reasonable allocation would require that two-thirds of the cost be paid with funds from the
8	Federal account.
9	The second message in paragraph [26] does not expressly advocate the election of a
10	clearly identified Federal candidate. Thus the disbursement may be paid from the Federal
11	and non-Federal accounts as specified in 106.6(c), see generally the answer to question 3
12	above.
13	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	9. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate, and that are targeted to geographic areas or demographic voter groups that have been identified as Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? This question refers to communications in paragraphs [53], [59], and [70], and pertains also to paragraphs [54] and [60].
21	ABC may use Federal funds or an allocation of Federal and non-Federal funds as set
22	forth in 11 CFR 106.6.
23	Paragraphs [53] and [54] (second message) involve voter registration messages by
24	ABC that do not mention specific candidates but urge the general public to support

24

1	candidates associated with particular positions on issues. Paragraph [53] includes the
2	statement, "From the war on terror, to cutting taxes, to improving education - we all have a
3	duty to elect leaders who put America first and not the liberal special interest groups." The
4	messages in paragraphs [53] and [54] (second message) would be allocable under 11 CFR
5	106.6, and may be paid for with non-Federal funds only to the extent permitted under 11
6	CFR 106.6(c). The same analysis applies to the directed GOTV messages referred to in
7	paragraphs [59] and [60] (second message). Paragraph 69 includes the sentence, "From the
8	war on terror, to cutting taxes, to improving education – we all have a duty to elect leaders
9	who will put America first and not the liberal special interest groups."19
10	Paragraph [70] merely states that Joe Smith is calling on behalf of ABC and asks the
11	recipient to vote on November 2, without words encouraging support for candidates of any
12	party or associated with any position on any issue. This communication does not mention
13	any clearly identified candidate. Thus, it is a generic GOTV communication that may be
14	paid for with Federal funds, or allocated under 11 CFR 106.6 between ABC's Federal and
15	non-Federal accounts.
16 17 18 19 20 21	10. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate and that are not targeted to geographic areas or demographic groups that have been identified as Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? [58]
22	In paragraph [58], you do not refer to any partisan targeting of the audience that will

receive this message. Nevertheless, this is a voter registration message distributed by a

non-connected political committee that urges support for candidates associated with

¹⁹ Paragraph [59] refers to the message at issue in paragraph [58]. Paragraph [58] does not by itself refer to the targeting of communications presented in paragraph [59] and will be discussed below.

1	positions on particular issues. See Exhibit D to the request. Thus, the communication falls
2	within the definition of "generic voter drive" at 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) and must be funded
3	in part, with Federal funds under 11 CFR 106.6(c).
4 5 6 7	11. How would prior contributions by ABC to candidates affect ABC's subsequent voter registration and GOTV activities with respect to those candidates? [18], [19].
8	You ask whether a prior contribution by ABC to a Federal candidate would lead the
9	Commission to conclude that subsequent GOTV activities with respect to the candidate are
10	coordinated expenditures and constitute in-kind contributions to the candidate subject to the
11	amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. The Commission concludes that a
12	prior contribution by ABC to the candidate, in and of itself, does not establish that ABC's
13	GOTV activities are coordinated with the candidate.
14 15 16 17 18	12. How would ABC's funding of voter registration or GOTV messages be affected by the fundraising for such expenses, including the content of the messages used to raise the funds? This pertains to paragraphs [55] and [61].
19	Paragraphs [55] and [61] ask if certain types of funds may be used for voter
20	registration or GOTV messages, if the solicitation for the funds mentions a specific Federal
21	candidate but the eventual voter registration or GOTV communication does not. An
22	example of such a solicitation is "Give money to an effort [or 'to a GOTV effort'] that will
23	help President Bush and Republican candidates;" an example of the subsequent GOTV
24	communication is, "Go out and vote. The election is important. It's your civic duty." You
25	do not provide an example for a voter registration communication subsequent to the

1	solicitation but, based on your question in paragraph [55], the Commission assumes that the
2	language is similar to the GOTV communication.
3	These two questions implicate two different activities of ABC, its fundraising
4	activities and its generic spending.
5	The fundraising messages in paragraphs [55] and [61] clearly identify a Federal
6	candidate and refer generally to other candidates of the same party. Some of these funds
7	are being raised to influence a Federal election subject to the contribution limits and source
8	prohibitions of the Act and others are raised for more generic purposes, accordingly it is
9	appropriate to treat the solicitation expense as a mixed Federal/non-Federal fundraising
10	activity subject to 11 CFR 106.6(d). ABC should make clear in its solicitations that it may
11	accept Federal contributions for its political committee only within the limitations and
12	prohibitions of the Act, and that funds not complying with those restrictions will be
13	deposited in the non-Federal account. See 11 CFR 102.5(a)(2)(ii).
14	The subsequent voter registration or GOTV messages will not refer to a Federal
15	candidate, a political party, or generically to candidates supporting positions on specific
16	issues. These subsequent messages may be funded as generic voter drive expenses that are
17	allocable in accordance with 11 CFR 106.6(c).
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	13. Do donors violate the Act by donating non-Federal funds to the voter mobilization effort directed at the general public with the stated purpose (i.e., express advocacy) of defeating a named Federal candidate? If so, are they subject to criminal penalties if they know from fundraising appeals that the purpose of their contribution is the defeat of a specific Federal candidate? Does it matter if the stated public purpose is the defeat of a specific candidate but all the messages themselves from ABC do not contain express advocacy? [48]

1	Requests pertaining to the activities of a third party do not qualify as advisory
2	opinion requests. 11 CFR 112.1(b). Moreover, an Advisory Opinion request must include
3	a complete description of all facts relevant to the specific transaction. 11 CFR 112.1(c).
4	Paragraph [48] presents questions as to the activities of third parties, including potentially
5	large numbers of donors in a variety of circumstances.
6 7 8 9 10	14. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter identification communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate and that ask questions in a manner that promotes his candidacy? [67]
11	Non-Federal funds may only be used for the allocable share of the cost of the
12	proposed voter identification communications. Paragraph [67] refers to mass mailings and
13	telephone banks to identify voters, which candidates they support, and which issues
14	motivate them. The message will contain several questions such as "Do you believe your
15	taxes are too high?" and "Are you in favor of improving education?", along with questions
16	such as "Are you in favor of President Bush's efforts to lower taxes?" or his "efforts to
17	improve education?" or his "efforts for a strong defense?" The communication will finish
18	with a question as to whether the reader or listener intends to vote on November 2. The
19	disbursements for this communication should be allocated under 106.6(c).
20	Fundraising
21 22 23 24 25	15. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise funds for ABC's Federal account? [38]

1	Yes, a Federal officeholder or candidate may solicit funds for ABC's Federal
2	account if he or she only asks for Federally permissible funds. See Advisory Opinions
3	2003-36, 2003-5 and 2003-3. ²⁰
4	Contributions that were designated for ABC's Federal account, or that result from a
5	solicitation that expressly states that the contribution will be used in connection with a
6	Federal election, or that are from contributors who have been informed that all
7	contributions are subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the Act, may be deposited in
8	ABC's Federal account. 11 CFR 102.5(a)(2).
9 10 11 12 13	16. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise funds for ABC's non-Federal account? [39]
14	Yes, if the Federal officeholders or candidates only ask for funds that are not in
15	excess of the Act's contribution limits, and that are not from sources prohibited by the Act
16	from making contributions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62. See Advisory
17	Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.
18 19 20 21 22	17. May ABC have Federal officeholders or candidates attend and/or speak at fundraising events for ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution and source limitations? [40] and [41]
23	Yes, Federal officeholders and candidates may attend and speak at fundraising
24	events for ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limit

²⁰ The answers to questions 15 and 16 collectively mean that a Federal candidate or officeholder may only solicit funds for ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts to the extent that the combined amounts solicited for Federal and non-Federal accounts do not exceed the amounts permitted under the Act's contribution limits. 11 CFR 300.62; see Explanation and Justification to Prohibited and Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money, 67 Fed. Reg. 49,107.

23

1	and source prohibitions, but they may not solicit funds that are outside the amount
2	limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.
3	Commission regulations establish that a Federal officeholder or candidate will not
4	be held liable for soliciting funds in violation of section 441i(e)(1)(B) or section 300.62
5	merely by virtue of attending or participating in any manner in connection with a
6	fundraising event at which non-Federal funds are raised. To be liable, the Federal candidate
7	must "ask" for non-Federal funds. Id.; see 11 CFR 300.2(m), (n). ²¹ The scope of a covered
8	person's potential liability under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1) and 11 CFR 300.62 will be determined
9	by his or her own speech and actions or those of his or her agents in asking for funds, but
10	not by the speech or actions of another person outside his or her control. See Advisory
11	Opinions 2003-36, 2003-05 and 2003-03.
12	If a covered person makes a solicitation, such solicitation must include or be
13	accompanied by a clear and conspicuous message indicating that the covered individual is
14	only asking for funds the that comply with the amount limitations and source prohibitions
15	of the Act. In the context of a solicitation for ABC, the following is considered to be an
16	adequate disclaimer:
17	
18	I am asking for a donation of up to \$5,000 per year. I am not asking for
19	funds from corporations, labor organizations, or other Federally prohibited
20	sources.
21	
22	A covered person may give a speech soliciting funds generally without mentioning specific

amounts, sources, or limitations, if written notices are clearly and conspicuously displayed

²¹ In McConnell v. FEC, the Court concluded that the Commission's interpretation of the statute was consistent with the construction offered by Intervenors McCain et al. in their Brief. 124 S. Ct. at 670.

- 1 at the event indicating that the covered person is soliciting only Federally permissible
- 2 funds;²² or if a public oral disclaimer is made. See Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-

3 03.

5

18. May Federal officeholders or candidates be named in the invitation as honored guests, or as featured speakers, or as hosts, for fundraising events for ABC's non-Federal account? [42]

Yes, within the limits of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 300.62. Section 441i(e)(1)(B) and section 300.62 only apply to an invitation to an event where that invitation constitutes a solicitation for funds, and where the covered person approved, authorized, or agreed or consented to be featured, or named in, the invitation (e.g., through the use of his name or likeness). Mentioning a covered person in the text of a written invitation does not, without more, constitute a solicitation or direction of non-Federal funds by that covered person. However, a candidate's consent or agreement to be mentioned in an invitation as an honored guest, featured speaker or host, where that invitation is a solicitation, constitutes a solicitation by the candidate. Thus, if a candidate agrees or consents to be named in a fundraising solicitation as an honored guest, featured speaker or host, or if the invitation constitutes a solicitation for any other reason, then the solicitation must contain a clear and conspicuous statement that the entire solicitation is limited to funds that comply with the amount limits and source prohibitions of the Act. See generally Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.

Complying with these requirements regarding the written invitation does not relieve the covered person of the requirements as to his or her actual appearance at the subsequent

²² See 11 CFR 110.11(c) for the Commission's interpretation of "clear and conspicuous" in related contexts.

1	event as an honored guest or featured speaker, as set out in the response to questions 16 and
2	17. The requirements set out in response to question 17 as to the speech and disclaimer by
3	the covered person, clear and conspicuous written notices, and conversations by the covered
4	person are still applicable.
5 6 7 8	19. May Federal officeholders or candidates sign written solicitations for the non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution and source limitations? [43]
9	No. Federal officeholders and candidates may not sign written solicitations for
10	ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limits and source
11	prohibitions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62; Advisory Opinion 2003-03.
12	
13 14 15 16 17 18	20. If Federal officeholders or candidates cannot speak or participate in a fundraising event for ABC's non-Federal account, may ABC have a fundraiser for its Federal account with the Federal officeholders and candidates present, and then immediately adjourn to an adjacent location for a non-Federal soft dollar fundraising event at which the Federal officeholders and candidates are not present? [44]
19	See the answer to question 17.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	21. May ABC solicit Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? Solicitations for Federal funds would be through mass mailings and broadcast advertising. For example, could ABC use the following message: "ABC supports President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can support President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can support President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can support President Bush's reelection." [21], [24]
31	Yes. Although ABC will use the names of specific Federal candidates in
32	solicitations, ABC will not coordinate with the candidates, and the candidates will not

- 1 solicit, receive, direct, transfer, spend or disburse funds outside of the amount limitations. 2 source prohibitions or reporting requirements of the Act. Thus, neither
- 3 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), nor 11 CFR 300.61, nor any other provision of the Act or
- 4 Commission regulations, would bar ABC's fundraising through the use of the names of
- specific Federal candidates in a manner that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to 5
- specific Federal candidates.²³ 6

7 8 22. May ABC solicit non-Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates 9 in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal 10 candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? 11 Solicitations for non-Federal funds would be through mass mailings, broadcast 12 advertising, and in person solicitations using printed materials and taped messages.

13 Could ABC use the messages presented in the immediately preceding question for this

14 purpose? [25]

15 16

Yes, provided that donors are informed that the funds contributed are for non-

- 17 Federal purposes. To the extent the message contains only express advocacy of the federal
- candidate, the costs for the solicitation must be paid entirely from the Federal account. 18
- Otherwise, the solicitation costs are allocable as a direct cost of the fundraising program, 19
- under 11 CFR 106.6(d). If the broadcast solicitations qualify as an electioneering 20
- communication, no corporate or labor organization funding would be permissible. 11 CFR 21
- 22 114.14(b).

23

24

25

- 23. May ABC sponsor an issues forum at which Federal officeholders or candidates speak, and then adjourn later in the same day to a different location for a non-Federal fundraiser that is not attended by Federal officeholders or candidates? The invitation
- 26 to this event would include two separate pieces, each with its own disclaimer. One 27

. **.**

²³ Please see the answer to question 15, above, regarding the conditions for deposit of Federal funds. 11 CFR 102.5.

1 would be for the issues forum alone and would contain no electioneering or fundraising 2 message. The second piece would be a fundraising piece for the non-Federal dollar 3 fundraising that either did not mention or include Federal officeholders and candidates 4 or, in the alternative, included Federal officeholders and candidates only to the extent 5 permitted by the Commission, [45] 6 7 Yes, as a non-connected political committee, ABC may sponsor an issues forum.²⁴ 8 As explained in the answers to question 17, a covered person may be included on the 9 invitations, subject to the limits of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 300.62. A Federal 10 officeholder or candidate may speak at ABC's issues forum. The invitation to the issues 11 forum and the fundraising solicitation should either be in separate mailings, or the entire 12 mailing should satisfy the conditions set out in the answer to question 18, above. 13 14 24. May ABC raise and spend funds from its non-Federal accounts from foreign nationals 15 and from foreign corporations and labor organizations for voter registration and voter mobilization activities on behalf of Federal candidates with express advocacy (e.g., 16 "register to help reelect President Bush") or with an issue advocacy message outside 17 the 30 or 60 day windows (e.g., "Register. It's your duty.")? [49] 18 19 No. The Act, as amended by BCRA, prohibits foreign nationals²⁵ from among 20 21 other things, directly or indirectly making a contribution or donation of money or other thing of value, or to expressly or impliedly promise to make a contribution or donation, in 22 23 connection with a Federal, State, or local election. 2 U.S.C. 441e(a)(1)(A); 11 CFR 110.20. 24 Nor may foreign nationals make expenditures or disbursements for an electioneering 25 communication. 2 U.S.C. 441e(a)(1)(C). Also, no person, including ABC, may solicit,

The Commission understands this is an event at which solicitations for Federal or non-Federal funds do not occur.
 The following are considered foreign nationals for purposes of the Act: foreign governments; foreign

²⁵ The following are considered foreign nationals for purposes of the Act: foreign governments; foreign political parties; foreign corporations; foreign associations; foreign partnerships; individuals with foreign citizenship; and United States immigrants who do not have a "green card." 11 CFR 110.20(a)(3).

1	accept, or receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national. 2 U.S.C. 441e(a)(2).
2	Section 110.20(g) provides that no person shall knowingly solicit, accept or receive any
3	contribution or donation from a foreign national, and 11 CFR 110.20(h) provides that no
4	person shall knowingly provide substantial assistance in the making of an expenditure,
5	independent expenditure or donation by a foreign national, nor provide substantial
6	assistance in making disbursements in connection with any Federal, State or local election.
7	11 CFR 110.20(h)(2). As a political committee, ABC must not solicit such funds, deposit
8	such funds into its Federal or non-Federal account, or use such funds for the purposes
9	indicated in your question.
10 11 12 13 14 15	25. If Federal candidates or officeholders participate in the requestors' fundraising activities in any scenario above, would they be "solicit[ing] funds in connection with an election other than an election for Federal office" under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B)? [46]
16	The Commission cannot resolve whether any of the fundraising scenarios you
17	generally describe above are in connection with any election other than an election for
18	Federal office without more specific information regarding those communications (e.g.,
19	none of the exhibits to ABC's request for an advisory opinion identify a candidate for State
20	district or local political office). As such, this question is hypothetical and it presents a
21	general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity,
22	and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).
23	The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment
24	under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal
25	Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.

1	I his response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act
2	and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request.
3	See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts
4	or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion
5	presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as
6	support for its proposed activity.
7 8 9	Sincerely,
.1 .2 .3	Bradley A. Smith Chairman
.4 .5 .6	Enclosures (AOs 1995-25, 2000-25, 2003-3, 2003-5 and 2003-36)